

Mary F Redden

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
Cc: John M Lamerato; Lori G Bluhm
Subject: Council Questions on April 3, 2006 Agenda - Items E-5 and F-4

Attached are City Attorney Lori Bluhm's responses to two agenda questions posed by Council Member Robin Beltramini.

Mary

-----Original Message-----

From: Lori G Bluhm
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2006 11:18 AM
To: 'Robin Beltramini'
Cc: John M Lamerato
Subject: RE: E-5 and F-4 on tonight's agenda

As to E-5- a substitute page has been prepared to reflect the location as identified on the diagram. We have the consent of the other party to make the change from east to west.

As to F-4- the City retains all rights to enforce ordinance violations in the garage or the yard- which is further strengthened by the equitable powers granted in the consent judgment. However, since there were no previous ordinance violation citations for these areas- and the only cited violation was the requirement for aisle space from egress doors- and the garage door is not an egress door- so the City cannot impose the same 36 inch aisle width for the garage. Our inspectors have not yet had reason to inspect the garage.

Lori Grigg Bluhm
City Attorney
City of Troy
(248) 524-3323

-----Original Message-----

From: Robin Beltramini [mailto:RBeltram@wideopenwest.com]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2006 8:53 AM
To: Lori G Bluhm
Cc: John M Lamerato
Subject: E-5 and F-4 on tonight's agenda

Lori,

E-5: In paragraph 2.Location and Hours: it says, ". . .at the extreme east end as designated on Exhibit A. . ." In both the memo and the Exhibit A,

parking is shown at the extreme WEST end of the lot. Can we fix this, since it has already been signed?

F-4: 5165 Prentis consent judgment has no mention of when the yard and/or the garage will be dealt with, except to say that the garage will not be dealt with at the same time as the ground floor. Do we need to add these elements? Can we afford to ignore them?

Thanks,
Robin