

A regular meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals was called to order at 7:30 p.m. on Tuesday, August 19, 1997 by the Chairman, Jerald Sosnowski.

PRESENT: Michael Alaimo  
Kenneth Courtney  
Christopher Fejes  
James Giachino  
Carmelo Milia  
Jerald Sosnowski  
Wayne Wright

John Martin  
Gary A. Shripka

**ITEM #1      Approval of Minutes -- July 15, 1997 and July 22, 1997**

Motion by Courtney  
Supported by Milia

MOVED, to approve the July 15, 1997 and July 22, 1997 as written.

Yeas:            All 7

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED

**ITEM #2      RENEWAL REQUESTED: Nino Salvaggio Investment Co., 6825 Rochester Road, for relief of the 6 foot high masonry screening wall required along the south and west property lines.**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting renewal of relief granted, by this Board, to provide landscaped berms in place of the 6 foot high masonry screening wall required along the south property line and the west boundary line. This relief was originally granted in 1995. The renewal was again heard at the February meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals in 1997. At that time, the petitioner was granted a 6 month renewal, which would allow the petitioner the opportunity to provide the landscaping of the berm in accordance with the variance and the landscape requirements. Other than that conditions remain the same, we have no objections or complaints on file.

Leo Salvaggio was present and had nothing to add.

Motion by Milia  
Supported by Alaimo

MOVED, to grant Nino Salvaggio Investment Co., 6825 Rochester Road, a 6 month renewal of the variance for relief of the 6 foot high masonry screening wall required along the south and west property lines:

1. Conditions remain the same.
2. There are no complaints or objections on file.
3. It will allow the landscaping to mature.

ITEM #2

Yeas: All 7

MOTION TO RENEW VARIANCE FOR SIX (6) MONTHS CARRIED

**ITEM #3      RENEWAL REQUESTED: Neumann/Smith & Associates, Inc., on behalf of Magna, 600 Wilshire, for relief of the 6 foot high masonry screening wall required abutting residential property.**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting renewal of relief granted in 1996 to provide landscaping and berms instead of the 6 foot high masonry wall required where non-residential abuts residential zoned properties. The site is currently under construction and the landscaping and berms along the west property line and that portion of the wall along the north property line has yet to be completed. Other than that, conditions remain the same, we have no objections or complaints on file.

John Benson was present and stated that they are working on the project. About 90 feet of the berm has been installed and they hope to start the wall within the next two weeks.

Motion by Courtney  
Supported by Giachino

MOVED, to grant Neumann/smith Associates, Inc., on behalf of Magna, 600 Wilshire, a two year renewal of their variance for relief of the 6 foot high masonry screening wall required abutting residential zoned property:

1. Conditions remain the same
2. There are no objections or complaints on file.
3. It will allow them time to finish the berm.

Yeas: All 7

MOTION TO RENEW VARIANCE FOR TWO (2) YEARS CARRIED

**ITEM #4      VARIANCE REQUESTED: Patterson Construction, 800 E. Maple Road, for relief of the front setback, relief of the east side yard setback, relief of the rear yard setback and relief of the setback from the west property line.**

ITEM #4

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner originally appeared before this Board at their July 15, 1997 meeting. At that time the petitioner was requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a new service station at the southwest corner of Maple and Rochester Road. The plot plan shows the proposed construction would result in a number of variances. First, a 21.7 foot front yard setback. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 40 foot front yard setback. Second, a 10 foot side yard setback from the east property line. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 20 foot setback. Third, a rear yard setback of only 5 feet where the Zoning Ordinance requires 30 feet. Four, an accessory structure within 2'11" of the west property line. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 6 foot setback. At that meeting, this item was tabled to give the petitioner the opportunity to investigate the possibility of acquiring additional properties and the availability of additional money for the project.

Ron Kachman of Building Design Associates, Rick Mauer of Marathon Oil and Jim Ray of Marathon Oil were present.

Mr. Kachman and Mr. Ray stated there were attempts to purchase additional property with no success, as the owner wanted more than a reasonable price for any additional property. Mr. Kachman explained their proposals for the site and noted that the size of the parcel is limited and requires and improvements. He noted that with the changes in the setback and landscaping being provided is more than what is existing.

Motion by Giachino  
Supported by Fejes

MOVED, to deny the request of Marathon Oil:

1. The requested is excessive due to the number of setback variances..
2. The improvements are at the severe cost of meeting the ordinance.

Yeas: Sosnowski, Fejes, Giachino  
Nays: Milia, Courtney, Alaimo, Wright

**MOTION TO DENY FAILS**

Motion by Milia  
Supported by Wright

MOVED, to grant Patterson Construction on behalf of Marathon Oil, 800 E. Maple, a variance, as requested, for relief of the front setback, 21.7 feet where 40 feet is required, a 10 foot setback from the east property line where 20 feet is required, a rear setback of 5 feet where 30 feet is required, an accessory building within 2'11" of the west property line, where 6 feet is required:

ITEM #4

1. The variance is not contrary to public interest.
2. It significantly improves the traffic pattern and safety of the site.
3. It does not cause an adverse effect to properties in the immediate vicinity or zoning district.
4. The variance relates only to the property described in the application.
5. There is no change in the use of the property.

Yeas: Courtney, Alaimo, Wright, Milia  
 Nays: Sosnowski, Fejes, Giachino

MOTION TO APPROVE REQUEST CARRIED

**ITEM #5 VARIANCE REQUESTED: H. Audrey Sanders, 6649 Crabapple, for relief of the rear yard setback.**

The chairman moved Item #5 to the end of the agenda (Item #15) to give the petitioner the opportunity to be present.

**ITEM #6 VARIANCE REQUESTED: James C. & Cheryl L. Ori, 4647 Squirrel Hill, for relief of the rear yard setback.**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a covering over a 12'x14' section of their 31'6"x27' deck. The deck has a 39.4 foot rear yard setback. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 45 foot rear yard setback to covered decks.

James Ori was present and stated that he was asking relief of the Ordinance so that he could provide a covering over a portion of the deck. The deck is facing west and they get the afternoon sun and the winds are very strong a lot of the time. The deck was existing when they bought the house. To meet the setback would not look right and would not protect them from the late evening sun. It would also change the character of the deck.

Mr. Giachino and Mr. Alaimo questioned the setback requirement. Mr. Shripka explained that once a variance has been granted for a setback, an addition could be constructed at that setback.

The chairman opened the public hearing. No one wished to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

There were 3 letters of approval on filed.

Motion by Alaimo  
Supported by Courtney

ITEM #6

MOVED, to deny the request of James C. & Cheryl L. Ori, 4647 Squirrel Hill, to add a roof over a portion of their deck resulting in a 36 foot rear yard setback where 45 feet is required:

- 1. The petitioner has not demonstrated a practical difficulty or hardship.

Yeas: Fejes, Courtney, Alaimo, Giachino  
 Nays: Wright, Milia, Sosnowski

MOTION TO APPROVE REQUEST CARRIED

**ITEM #7 VARIANCE REQUESTED: Troy Baptist Church, 3193 Rochester, for relief to expand a non-conforming use, relief of the required greenbelt, relief of the required setback from Hartland and Harris, relief of the required landscaping.**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct an addition and expand the existing parking lot. The site is an existing legal non-conforming use. The Zoning Ordinance does not permit additions or expansions of non-conformities. The site plan also shows; (1) a 6 foot greenbelt along Hartland and no greenbelt along Harris. The Zoning Ordinance requires 10 foot greenbelt along both streets. (2) the parking lot will have a 10 foot setback from Hartland and a zero setback from Harris. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 50 foot setback from both property lines. (3) in addition to the 10 foot wide greenbelt, the ordinance requires 10% of the entire site be landscaped. Although no landscape numbers have been provided, the landscape barrier is insufficient. Because this request partially requires expansion of a non-conforming use, it is important to note that under Section 43.73.00 of the Zoning Ordinance, should the Board grant an expansion of a non-conforming use or structure, it shall be required to the fullest reasonable extent that all requirements of the City Code applicable to the subject use are complied with as if the use were in the proper zoning district. Again while this is not a variance request at this time, it should be noted that the addition, as well as the parking, at the southeast corner should respect the 50 foot setback that would be required should this building be in the proper zoning.

Art Kalajian, the Architect and Ron Wilson, Chairman of the Building Committee for the Church were present.

The Board requested that because this and item 8 are by the same petitioner and related, they be heard as one item, but voted on separately.

**ITEM #8 VARIANCE REQUESTED: Troy Baptist Church, 3153 Rochester, for relief of the 4'6" high masonry wall required along the west property line and along Hartland, relief of the required setback from Hartland, relief to expand a non-conforming use.**

## ITEM #7/8

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to expand their parking lot. The plot plan shows the following: (1) A 4'6" high wall within 10 feet of the north property line, no wall at the rear or west property line and no wall along Hartland where parking abuts residential. The Zoning Ordinance requires a wall along the property front equal to the abutting residential setback of 25 feet. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 4'6" high wall where parking abuts residential. (2) Parking to within 10 feet of the Hartland property line. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 25 foot setback. (3) The site is an existing legal non-conforming site. The Zoning Ordinance prohibits the expansion of non-conforming uses. Also, again as with the previous request, should the Board grant this exception, it shall be required to the fullest reasonable extent that all requirements of the City Code applicable to the subject use are complied with as if the use were in the proper zoning district. On this site, that would specifically address the new parking along the southeast corner of the property as well as the expansion along Hartland in the current B-3 Zone. If this were to be in the proper zoning, both parking areas would be setback 50 feet from the existing property line.

Art Kalajian explained their proposal for providing additional parking, noting that because of the growth of the church and the anticipated growth they will need additional parking and that as they add on their parking will be deficient. Mr. Kalajian stated that he was not encroaching any further into the setbacks. The same parking lot lines would be kept, but they were extending further back where they had residential sites that they owned. Mr. Kalajian stated that within 2-1/2 to 3 years they propose to develop their recreational center at the 3153 Rochester address. Again they will have to adjust their parking requirements. Ron Wilson noted that the church's membership has tripled and they presently have two Sunday morning services, a Saturday evening service and a Sunday evening service. They will probably have to offer a third service on Sunday to meet their needs. Mr. Kalajian stated that he thinks they will be able to provide a total green area of 80-85% including greenbelt on the total site.

Mr. Courtney questioned the possibility or relocating to another site that had more room where they could meet their needs.

Ron Wilson stated there is no reasonable location within their means. He did note that they hope to develop satellite churches at other locations in the future.

Mr. Kalajian again cited some parking calculations and noted that they have 5 different zonings for the two sites.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

**ITEM #7/8**

James Savage, 800 Harris, objected to the variance request. He noted previous variance requests that he and neighbors have objected to, citing a letter signed by 19 residents of Harris in May of 1987. Mr. Savage stated that the surrounding residential area is being compromised. Mr. Savage noted that in the ordinance, churches are a permitted use in residential as long as they do not create a negative effect on surrounding properties. He feels that they are creating a negative effect.

Pam Omiatek, 875 Harris, objected to the variance, showing photos of the lack of maintenance on their parking lot, including debris. They have not landscaped as indicated in previous appeals. They lease part of their parking lot to businesses on Rochester Road. They have installed a makeshift exit from their parking lot onto Harris. They already have unused space to the rear and people park on Harris. Inch by inch they are decreasing the property values on Harris.

John Davis, 775 Harris, objected to the variance, commenting on the number of properties that the church is taking off the tax rolls. Traffic is becoming a real problem in the area.

John Murray, 840 Harris, objected showing pictures of the site and stating that: To construct a church in residential they should meet the setback requirements, provide the greenbelt and landscaping required. Mr. Murray also stated that they are creating a traffic problem.

Kim Swails, 828 Harris, objected for the same reasons as previously stated and also noted that they pay no taxes and the house owned by the church is not used by the church.

Sandy Foster, 875 Harris, objected for the same reasons as previously stated, noting traffic and congestion. Ms. Foster also stated that an auto repair facility is being run from one of the homes owned by the church.

Cheryl Durst, 809 Hartland, objected, stating there was already too much extra traffic on Hartland during the church use hours. Mrs. Durst noted the congestion and problems with safety. The people are directly in front of her all the time and now it would be even closer.

Robert Piscopo, 866 Hartland, objected, for the same previously stated reasons and noted that he would like to see the required brick wall, people are parking too close to his home.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Item #7 had 32 objections on file.

Item #8 had 29 objections on file.

Motion by Milia  
Supported by Wright

**ITEM #7/8**

MOVED, to deny the request of Troy Baptist Church, 3193 Rochester Road for relief to expand a non-conforming use, relief of the greenbelt along Harris, relief of the required setback from Harris and Hartland and relief of the required landscaping:

1. The variance is contrary to public interest.
2. The variance would cause an adverse effect to public health, welfare and safety in the area.

Yeas: 7

**MOTION TO DENY REQUEST CARRIED**

Ron Wilson requested that he be able to respond to some of the matters expressed by the neighbors. Mr. Wilson stated that the parking lot would be re-surfaced. That some other use would be more detrimental than the church, it institutes ethical, moral and healthy Christian values. Harris and Hartland are public residential streets. No one had demonstrated decreases in property values. The rental homes will be demolished as part of their proposed expansion. Rochester Road will become a boulevard in the future which will alleviate some of the traffic problem. A church is better than a Taco Bell, it keeps kids in church, adds to the value of the City as there are no drugs, no speeders, no crime and no vandalism. He would work with the neighbors on addressing their problems.

Motion by Milia  
Supported by Wright

MOVED, to deny the request of Troy Baptist Church, 3153 Rochester Road, for relief of the 4'6" high masonry screening setback, relief of the wall, relief of the setback to parking, expansion of a non-conforming use:

1. The variance is contrary to public interest.
2. The variance would cause an adverse effect to neighbors in the immediate vicinity.
3. There would be a negative impact on public welfare and safety.
4. The variance would only compound the non-conformity.

Yeas: 7

**MOTION TO DENY REQUEST CARRIED**

The Board recessed at 8:55 p.m. and reconvened at 9:05 p.m.

**ITEM #9            VARIANCE REQUESTED: James S. Reckker, Sr., 878Trombley, for relief to place an accessory building in the side yard.**

ITEM #9

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a 576 square foot detached garage. The plot plan shows the garage would be

located in the east side yard. The Zoning Ordinance requires detached accessory buildings to be located in the rear yard.

Mr. Reckker was present and stated that he is replacing a garage at the same location as it was. To relocate the garage further into the yard would present a problem as it floods in the Fall. To build the garage in the rear would destroy the patio, shrubs and an established Maple tree.

The chairman opened the public hearing. No one wished to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

There were 3 approvals on file.

Motion by Fejes  
Supported by Giachino

MOVED, to grant James C. Reckker, Sr., 878 Trombley, a variance, as requested, for relief to re-construct a 576 sq. ft. detached garage in the east side yard where the Zoning Ordinance requires detached accessory buildings to be located in the rear yard:

1. The variance is not contrary to public interest.
2. The variance will not establish a prohibited use in the zoning district.
3. The variance will not cause an adverse effect to properties in the immediate vicinity or zoning district.
4. The variance relates only to the property described in the application.
5. It is only replacing a garage in the same location, it is not being added to, nor increasing any variance.
6. The rear yard contains a flood area.
7. Conforming would affect the natural beauty by removal of trees.

Yeas: 9

MOTION TO APPROVE REQUEST CARRIED.

**ITEM #10 VARIANCE REQUESTED: Shamia Farag Wassef, 39865 Dequindre  
(proposed address), for relief of the minimum lot width**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a 2600 sq. ft. single family residence. The plot plan shows the lot for the proposed home is 59 feet wide. The Zoning Ordinance requires an 85 foot wide lot.

**ITEM #10**

Shamia Wassef was present and noted that this has been a single lot since 1990. Ms. Wassef stated that she is proposing to improve the lot by constructing a home. Ms. Wassef further stated that she sold all the surrounding property except this lot.

The Board questioned the lot and its size. Mr. Shripka explained that this is a remnant parcel from the adjacent subdivision platting. The lot is not buildable without a variance.

The Board expressed concerns to Mrs. Wassef that she would purchase a parcel that was non-conforming in size. Mrs. Wassef indicated that it was a parcel left from the property she sold for the abutting subdivision. This was part of the lot to the south.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

Jerry & Irene Mikulak, 39917 Dequindre were present and objected to the variance indicating they purchased their lot because the adjacent land was open and they were told no one could build on it.

Donald Kossick, 2941 Bywater, was present and objected indicating that they were told it would remain vacant when they purchased their home. The ordinance should be met.

Larry Spezia, 2933 Bywater, was present and objected to the variance. Mr. Spezia stated that he backs directly up to the lot in question, he feels that the ordinance should be met. He also was told this lot would not be built on.

There were no further comments and the public hearing was closed.

There were 3 objections on file.

Motion by Giachino  
Supported by Courtney

MOVED, to table the request of Shamia Farag Wassef, 39865 Dequindre (proposed address) until the next regular meeting (September 16, 1997) to give the petitioner the opportunity to purchase additional property.

Yeas: 6 - Wright, Giachino, Milia, Sosnowski, Fejes, Courtney  
Nays: 1- Alaimo

MOTION TO TABLE REQUEST UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16, 1997 MEETING CARRIED

**ITEM #11      VARIANCE REQUESTED: Hercules Construction, Inc., 130 MacLynn, for relief of the rear yard setback.**

ITEM #11

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a 16'x14' addition to the rear of an existing residence. The plot plan shows the proposed addition would result in a rear setback of 40.4 feet. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 45 foot rear yard setback.

Frank Carnovale, the architect and Kristin Waites were present. Mr. Carnovale stated that the proposed addition was to provide a room large enough to be a habitable room and allow for the installation of a hot tub. Ms. Waites indicated it would be a recreation and exercise room. Mr. Carnovale stated that the layout of the home limits the location of the addition. Also there is mature landscaping on the lot. The home is small because of the large garage and a partial basement that is mostly used for mechanical equipment. Because of the layout of homes in the area the proposed addition would not be seen.

The chairman opened the public hearing. No one wished to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

There were 2 approvals on file.

Motion by Courtney  
Supported by Fejes

MOVED, to deny the request of Hercules Construction, Inc., 130 MacLynn, for relief to construct a 16'x14' addition to the rear, resulting in a 40.4 foot setback where 45 feet is required:

1. The petitioner has not demonstrated a practical difficulty or hardship.

Yeas: All 7

MOTION TO DENY REQUEST CARRIED

**ITEM #12      VARIANCE REQUESTED: John Bogdanovic, 54 & 68 Birchwood, for relief of the required lot size.**

Mr. Shripka explained that petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to combine and split three lots into two non-conforming lots. The split would result in each lot having an area of 7,200 square feet. The Zoning Ordinance requires a minimum lot area of 7,500 square feet.

Zivana Bogdanovic, stated that the proposal to combine three lots and split them into two lots was to allow him and his brother to construct homes for their families. Mr. Bogdanovic cited several other similar variances granted on Birchwood and the street in back of them. Mr. Bogdanovic noted that there was no property to purchase to increase the lot sizes.

ITEM #12

The chairman opened the public hearing.

Santa Zawaideh, 6647 John R. was present and stated she owned properties on Maple and approved of the variance request.

There were no further comments and the public hearing was closed.

There was 1 approval and 2 objections on file.

Mr. Giachino asked if they could build on a 60 foot wide lot and if it were possible to purchase property to the rear. Mr. Shripka explained that a 60 foot wide lot is the required width in this zoning district and if they were to purchase property to the rear, it would make those lots non-conforming.

Motion by Giachino  
Supported by Milia

MOVED, to grant John Bogdanovic, 54 & 68 Birchwood, a variance as requested, to combine and split three lots into two 7,200 square foot lots where 7,500 square feet is required:

1. The variance is not detrimental to the area, it is an improvement.
2. It is in compliance with the surrounding area which has small lots with small homes.
3. The variance requested is for 300 square feet which is not significant.

Yeas: All 7

MOTION TO APPROVE REQUEST CARRIED

**ITEM #13 VARIANCE REQUESTED: Thomas R. Burland, 136 Gordon, for relief of the rear yard setback.**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a 22'5 3/8" x 14'2 1/2" addition to the rear of an existing residence. The plot plan shows the proposed addition would result in a 39'10" rear yard setback and a 9'1" side yard setback. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 45 foot rear yard setback and a 10 foot side yard setback..

Thomas Burland was present and stated that they have 4 children and need the 4<sup>th</sup> bedroom. Their rear yard does not back up to another home. It backs up to open space. Mr. Burland stated that there are other similar additions in the area. He also stated that his lot is not as large as some others in the area.

Regan Louchart, the builder was present and stated the addition is designed to keep the flow of the floor plan and to make the addition look like it was part of the existing home. It will not look like just another room added to the rear.

ITEM #13

The chairman opened the public hearing.

Kevin Regan, 120 Gordon, was present and approved of the variance request.

There were no further comments and the public hearing was closed.

There were 2 approvals on file.

Motion by Courtney  
Supported by Wright

MOVED, to grant Thomas Burland, 136 Gordon, a variance, as requested, to construct a 22'5-3/8"x14'2-1/2" addition on the rear of an existing residence, resulting in a 39'10" rear yard and a 9'1" side yard setback where 45 feet rear yard and 10 foot side yard is required:

1. The variance is not contrary to public interest.
2. the variance will not establish a prohibited use in the zoning district.
3. The variance relates only to the property described in the application.
4. The variance is not excessive and gives the petitioner the full use and enjoyment of his property.

Yeas: 6- Sosnowski, Fejes, Courtney, Alaimo, Wright, Milia  
Nays: 1- Giachino

MOTION TO APPROVE REQUEST CARRIED

**ITEM #14      VARIANCE REQUESTED: 190 E. Maple Investments, L. P. c/o Etkin Properties, 190 E. Maple Road for relief of the required landscaping.**

Mr. Shripka explained that the petitioner is requesting relief of the Zoning Ordinance to expand a parking lot. The plot plan shows the parking lot expansion results in 16,234 square feet of landscaping being provided. The Zoning Ordinance requires 20,297 square feet of landscaping for a site of this size.

Bob Bednas was present to represent Etkin Properties. Mr. Bednas stated that they purchased the property 2-1/2 years ago. They now find that they must split the building into two tenant spaces to make the rear portion of the building to make it marketable. They have altered the building to change the appearance and provided additional parking. They now find that they are required to meet the existing parking and landscape ordinance, which was not in effect when the building was constructed. They have proposed the maximum amount of landscaping for the site, which is more than what is existing, but cannot meet the requirements.

The Board briefly discussed the site and conditions.

ITEM #14

The chairman opened the public hearing. No one wished to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

Motion by Alaimo  
Supported by Wright

MOVED, to grant 190 E. Maple Associates, L. P., c/o Etkin Properties, 190 E. Maple, a variance as requested to expand a parking lot, providing 16,234 square feet of landscaping where 20,297 square feet is required:

1. The variance is not contrary to public interest.
2. The variance does not establish a prohibited use in the zoning district.
3. The variance does not cause an adverse effect to properties in the immediate vicinity or zoning district.
4. The improvement enhance the area.
5. Conforming is unnecessarily burdensome.
6. The petitioner has made an effort to both lease the property and comply with the ordinance.
7. The property has been vacant for a while.

Yeas: All 7

MOTION TO APPROVE REQUEST CARRIED

**ITEM #15      ITEM #5      VARIANCE REQUESTED: H. Audrey Sanders, 6649 Crabapple, for relief of the rear yard setback.**

The petitioner was not present.

Motion by Giachino  
Supported by Alaimo

MOVED, to table the request of H. Audrey Sanders, 6649 Crabapple, for relief of the rear yard setback, until the next regular meeting (September 16, 1997) to give the petitioner the opportunity to be present.

Yeas: 5- Alaimo, Wright, Milia, Sosnowski, Fejes  
Nays: 2- Courtney, Giachino

MOTION TO TABLE REQUEST UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16, 1997 MEETING CARRIED

The Board of Zoning Appeals adjourned at 10:05 p.m.

GAS/ddb