

Mary F Redden

From: Mary F Redden
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2009 4:07 PM
To: 000schilling@ameritech.net; cristinabroomfield@yahoo.com; David Eisenbacher; Louise Schilling; Mary Kerwin; mfhowryl@umich.edu; rbeltram@wideopenwest.com; wade.fleming@proforma.com
Cc: John Szerlag; John M Lamerato; Mark F Miller; Lori G Bluhm; Carmen Johnson; Dane M. Slater; Daryl Klinko; Doug Tietz; Maureen M. McGinnis; Wade Fleming; William Molnar
Subject: Staff Responses to Council Member Questions on the August 31, 2009 City Council Agenda

Good afternoon.

Attached are staff's answers to this week's agenda questions:

Item E-03 – Cancelling Service Agreement with DOCVIEW, LLC (from Council Member David Eisenbacher)

The staff report was very thorough and detailed but I am curious what the other CLEMIS communities are charging for reports?

According to Chief Gary Mayer CLEMIS charges a fee for each traffic crash report as established by each member agency. The Police Department proposes that CLEMIS charge \$14.00 for each report, of which the City receives shared revenue in the amount of \$7.00. CLEMIS retains \$7.00 as a management, maintenance and support fee.

Vickie Aldred, a NETRMS supervisor for CLEMIS, informed Lt. Lyczkowski that the range CLEMIS is charging for member agencies is \$13.00 to \$18.00. Farmington Hills and Novi are comparable in size to Troy. CLEMIS is collecting \$14.00 for Farmington Hills reports and \$18.00 for Novi reports. CLEMIS collects \$15.00 for many member agencies.

E-04—Interlocal Agreement for Building Inspection Services – Sterling Heights (from Council Member Robin Beltramini)

There are typographical errors which need to be fixed. On page 2 of the Agreement, paragraph #2 "building, electrical" are repeated. One set of those words must be deleted. In paragraph #18 on page 4, "it's" is possessive when used before "behalf", not a contraction for *it is*. Therefore, a replacement of "its" needs to occur.

Corrections have been made to the original document.

E-06- City Charter Amendments (from Council Member Robin Beltramini)

The resolution and ballot language for the revision to Charter section 7.9 is not the resolution and language we approved at the Aug. 17 meeting. If you look at the minutes (which accurately reflect my notes), you will see that the resolution as passed would be:

"Shall Section 7.9 be amended to remove the references to specific elections to provide for the nomination of any candidate for City elected office to be placed on Regular, August Primary, or Special Election ballots?"

This is significantly different than the resolution offered for approval on the Aug. 31 agenda. The 100 days currently is a requirement in the charter and while "any election where City candidates. . ." is in the proposal, I think the new language de-emphasizes the reason for amendment and could be defeated because when compared to current Charter language it appears to be a superfluous change, particularly as it seems inconsistent in emphasis from the other amendments where we specifically call out elections besides regular November City elections. The point, as I understood the motion for which I voted was to allow those City elective offices to be placed on ballots other than regular city elections. I expected that to remain the clear emphasis in any wording change. I am uncomfortable with this change as submitted for approval.

City Attorney Lori Bluhm suggests the following:

Shall Section 7.9 be amended to remove the references to specific elections by requiring to require the filing deadline for any City elective office to be 100 days prior to any election where City candidates are elected, instead of 100 days prior to the November City Election, as currently provided?

Yes:

No:

(The red language and the deletions are from the version that was submitted after discussion with the Attorney General's Office).

I have discussed this proposed revision with the AG- and have approval. Otherwise, we will need to try and craft revisions to the language as passed by City Council on August 17.

F-06 – Temporarily Waive Parking Restrictions – Congregation Shir Tikvah (from Council Member Robin Beltramini)

Restrictions on parking for Shir Tikvah have been requested for Sept. 18 and 19. I know that the Jewish high holidays often overlap with Troy Daze, as they do this year. What are we planning to do to ensure that the street parking along Northfield is available to synagogue attendees, not people wanting free Troy Daze parking? I believe that if we waive regulations, offer that street space for synagogue parking, we have a bit of an obligation to try to hold that parking opportunity for the Shir Tikvah congregation. I don't remember what we have done in the past.

Chief Gary Mayer spoke to two of the City representatives of the Troy Daze Event this morning about this very issue. They will work out a plan with Shir Tikvah representatives. It is anticipated that it will be posted as "official police permit parking only" or similar language. We will then devise dated official permits for them to distribute to Shir Tikvah members. It is anticipated that we will have police staff in the area at crucial service time periods to ensure compliance and problem solve. We will endeavor to balance the needs of Troy Daze attendees with the rights of the Shir Tikvah attendees.

Regards,

Mary Redden,
Administrative Assistant to the City Manager

John Szerlag

From: John Szerlag
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2009 4:16 PM
To: Cristina Broomfield (cristinabroomfield@yahoo.com); David Eisenbacher (david@eisenbacher.org); Louise Schilling (000schilling@ameritech.net); Martin Howrylak (mfhowryl@umich.edu); Mary Kerwin (marykerwin5@hotmail.com); Robin Beltramini (rbeltram@wideopenwest.com); Wade Fleming (wade.fleming@proforma.com)
Cc: John M Lamerato; Susan A Leirstein; Gary G Mayer; Lori G Bluhm
Subject: Update on Towing Contract

Martin,

The towing contract will be on the City Council agenda for the September 14, 2009 meeting. The Police Department, Purchasing Department, and City Attorney's Office are currently reviewing the contract which needs to first be signed by the low bidder before being sent to City Council for their approval. Coleman's Towing Company is the low bidder.

John

-----Original Message-----

From: mfhowryl@umich.edu [mailto:mfhowryl@umich.edu]
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2009 3:33 PM
To: John Szerlag
Subject: towing contract

John,

What is the status of the towing contract? Please advise as to the delay. Also, please forward to council any information that you can provide to us at present. Thank you.

-Martin Howrylak