

Global Credit Portal

RatingsDirect®

February 17, 2010

Summary:

Troy, Michigan; General Obligation; General Obligation Equivalent Security

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jane Hudson Ridley, Chicago (1) 312-233-7012; jane_ridley@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Credit Analyst:

Sean Hughes, Chicago (312) 233-7021; sean_hughes@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Troy, Michigan; General Obligation; General Obligation Equivalent Security

Credit Profile

US\$12.28 mil GO unlt'd tax rfdg bnds ser 2010 due 10/01/2020

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	New
Troy GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has assigned its 'AAA' rating to Troy, Mich.'s unlimited-tax general obligation (GO) refunding bonds. In addition, Standard & Poor's has affirmed its ratings on all of Troy's GO debt at 'AAA'. The rating reflects our assessment of the city's:

- Participation in the deep and diverse Oakland County economy,
- Very strong wealth and income levels,
- Very strong financial operations despite reduced financial flexibility given the city has limited property tax-raising capability; and
- Low debt as a percentage of market value.

Troy is an affluent suburb of Detroit, in Oakland County. Excellent highway access has resulted in the development of a substantial employment base with a variety of employment sectors available within the city's limits. The city's 80,300 residents also benefit from employment throughout southeastern Michigan. Income levels are very strong, in our opinion, with median household effective buying income at about 165% of state and national levels. The city is nearly fully built out.

Michigan's economy has suffered in recent years, and the local economies in Oakland County and Troy have as well. Unemployment in the city has increased and in 2009 averaged 11.7%, nearly twice the 2008 average. Another pronounced effect of the economic difficulties faced by the city has been the reduction in taxable and market value over the past several years. The city's taxable value fell 1.6% in 2009 to \$5.46 billion. City officials expect another decline of 10% for 2010, followed by decreases closer to 5% in the following years. The decrease in taxable value has a direct impact on Troy's ability to levy taxes, and results in a decrease in revenues. The market value of the tax base is approximately \$11.7 billion; although that is a 9% drop from the prior year, it still equates to \$145,000 per capita, which we consider very high.

In November 2008, Troy voters approved a referendum reducing the city's operating tax rate to its current taxing level of 8.10 mills from 8.56 (the tax limit as per Headlee rollback). It was Standard & Poor's view that, without the ability to raise taxes the city's operating flexibility would be limited, particularly if the city's tax base contracted. With the city levying 8.1 mills for general operation--and a decrease of \$91 million in the tax base--Troy lost \$734,000 in tax revenues in fiscal 2010. As such, the city made expenditure reductions to help bridge the growing structural imbalance. However, in order to avoid making even greater cuts, city officials have placed a 1.9 mill

five-year levy on the ballot for a special election in February 2010. Should the millage fail, city officials report they have identified a wide range of service cuts in order to close the structural imbalance, and there are other revenue enhancements the city could pursue as well. It is Standard & Poor's expectation that regardless of the passage of the new millage, Troy will regain structural balance and maintain healthy reserve levels.

In our view, Troy's financial performance remains very strong despite some draws on the general fund balance. Fiscal 2009 ended with a draw of \$2.3 million, bringing the general fund balance to \$21.3 million or 34% of expenditures. The unreserved fund balance was \$20.7 million, equal to 33% of expenditures. In addition, the city maintains a budget stabilization fund outside the general fund. At year-end 2009, the fund held \$2.1 million. Despite the falling revenues for 2010, the city still projects operations in the general fund to be balanced.

The city's financial management practices are considered "strong" under Standard & Poor's Financial Management Assessment (FMA). An FMA of strong indicates that practices are strong, well embedded and likely sustainable. This is a change in the city's FMA to "strong" from "good", reflecting the implementation of five-year financial forecasting.

Overall net debt, including tax increment debt, the bonds backed by golf course revenues, and overlapping debt, is in our opinion moderate at \$3,274 per capita but low at 2.3% of market value. We understand that Troy does not have any additional debt plans at this time. Bond proceeds will be used to refund debt outstanding.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's expectation that the city will regain balanced financial operations regardless of the passage of the new millage, and that management will exert strong fiscal controls to protect its financial position. Troy's participation in the diverse Oakland County economy supports the outlook.

Related Research

USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006

Ratings Detail (As Of February 17, 2010)

Troy Mun Bldg Auth, Michigan

Troy, Michigan

Troy Mun Bldg Auth (Troy) GO

Long Term Rating

AAA/Stable

Affirmed

Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal subscribers at www.globalcreditportal.com and RatingsDirect subscribers at www.ratingsdirect.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright (c) 2010 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.