

**Mary F Redden**

---

**From:** Mary F Redden  
**Sent:** Monday, April 19, 2010 2:31 PM  
**To:** 000schilling@ameritech.net; Dane Slater (djlkslater@aol.com); Mary Kerwin (marykerwin5@hotmail.com); Maureen M. McGinnis (mmcginnis@dmcginnis.com); mfhowryl@umich.edu; rbeltram@wideopenwest.com; Wade Fleming; wade.fleming@proforma.com  
**Cc:** John Szerlag; John M Lamerato; Mark F Miller; Lori G Bluhm  
**Subject:** Staff Responses to Council Questions on Tonight's Agenda

Good afternoon.

Here are staff's answers to some agenda questions we received today.

**E-2, Public Hearing Re-programming CDBG Program Year 2007 Funds**

**The background report of the CDBG states that, "Oakland County recommends..." which requires more explanation.**

**Who in which OC department recommended what and why? Also, important to include in the background the restrictions on these grants so that councilmembers are reminded that these funds cannot be moved to cap or gen buckets.**

City of Troy staff (Tim Richnak and Vickie Richardson) provided direction and recommendations on spending CDBG funds with input from Parks and Recreation (Carol Anderson and Jeff Biegler). We then must seek approval from the Oakland County agency that interprets the guidelines for approval of reprogramming CDBG funds. This agency is the Community and Home Improvement Division of Oakland County. Carla Spradlin is the Community & Home Improvement Planner that provides guidance for the City of Troy. The CDBG funds can never be used for general fund expenditures. The City of Troy only has one section (Section 36) that qualifies for expenditures of low to moderate income. Section 36 currently has only two capital programs that these funds can be expended on before 12/31/2010. These two projects are:

- 1) The Flood Drain Project, This project does not have sufficient additional City of Troy funds at this time to move forward.
- 2) The Section 36 Park Project, This project does not require any addition capital funds to move forward. It also does not require Davis-Bacon guidelines to be followed as long as City staff provides the labor for installation.

**H-4, Ordinance Amendment - Chapter 106 - Motor Carrier Enforcement**

**There are a number of question marks in the Amendment to Chapter 106---page 44, 4d and 5. What's with that?**

The version of the amendment included in the agenda packet was a draft version; it has been determined that this item needs to be removed from tonight's agenda.

**M-01a Personnel Board Minutes - Final 07.02.08**

**The Final minutes of the Personnel Board are a couple of years late. What happened there?**

According to City Clerk Tonni Bartholomew, the Personnel Board didn't have a meeting last year because there were no proposed changes to the Classified and Exempt employees benefits; the recent Personnel Board meeting was the first opportunity to have the final Minutes approved.